From:	Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC)
To:	Sheyner, Tania (CPC); Lamb, Benjamin (CPC); Langlie, Michelle (CPC); Sucre, Richard (CPC)
Cc:	Bradley, Stacy (REC); Golan, Yael (REC); Rudnick, Kelli (REC); Chavez, Lauren (REC)
Subject:	CPC/RPD: Meeting minutes 10/08/24
Date:	Wednesday, October 9, 2024 11:32:20 AM

Hi Planning team,

Below our meeting minutes from yesterday.

@Langlie, Michelle (CPC) friendly reminder to pls take a look at the plans we discussed yesterday to see how the Embarcadero Plaza Revitalization Project could get codified. The most recent concept designs are in that SF Chronical article, see link below.

McLaren Trails

- Revised NTF is in review
- Will be issued early next week

Great Highway (GH) Dune project

- Dune rehabilitation is a separate project to the possible GH closure
- Dune rehab is a maintenance project exempt under the LCP and considered 'not a project' under CEQA
- Planning will issue a 'not a project' confirmation memo
- NPS will complete their own NEPA review

GH Closure

- If voters elect to close the GH, then closure needs to be codified as soon as possible
- Need to determine correct environmental clearance as the project will require a coastal development permit
    - Could likely get a StatEx which will consolidate the SFMTA project at Sloat Blvd and the GH closure

Embarcadero Plaza

- Planning to review the **Better Market Street** and **Waterfront Plans** to determine how the Embarcadero Plaza revitalization project can get codified
- Fountain would potentially be moved to another location, red bricks may be removed
- Most recent concept designs are available here: https://www.sfchronicle.com/sf/article/s-f-embarcadero-plaza-new-park-19577945.php

Thanks!

Kerstin Kalchmayr (she/her)

Planner II | Capital & Planning Division

San Francisco Recreation & Park Department
49 South Van Ness, 12th floor Suite 1220
San Francisco, CA 94103
Office: (628) 652-6601
Email: kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org
Web | Facebook | Twitter | Instagram

-

From:	Langlie, Michelle (CPC)
To:	Bradley, Stacy (REC); Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC); Sheyner, Tania (CPC); Lamb, Benjamin (CPC); Sucre, Richard (CPC)
Cc:	Golan, Yael (REC); Cooper, Rick (REC)
Subject:	RE: CPC/RPD meeting minutes: 11/27/24
Date:	Thursday, December 5, 2024 11:45:00 AM

Sorry for the delay on this. The last bullet point outlines the individual components of the plaza that were found to be contributors to the identified Market Street Cultural Landscape.

- While Justin Herman Plaza possesses significance under NRHP and CRHR Criterion C/3 for its association with master landscape architect, Lawrence Halprin, alterations to the plaza have greatly diminished its integrity such that it no longer conveys its historic significance as an example of how his work helped elevate the influence of landscape architecture as a discipline that provides essential perspective on modern urban planning, or as an example of his work that illustrates the viability of prioritizing sensitivity to human experience and the existing built environment as part of the redevelopment process.

- The property does not appear to be a historical resource for the purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) and has also been evaluated in accordance with Section 15064.5(a)(2)-(3) of the CEQA Guidelines, using the criteria outlined in Section 5024.1 of the California Public Resources Code.

- While the plaza does not retain enough integrity to convey its significance as an individually eligible resource, features of the plaza that do retain integrity contribute as components to the integrity of the Market Street cultural landscape. Thus, the proposed status code is 3D (Contributor to a district that has been fully documented according to OHP instructions and appears eligible for listing).

    - These features are as follows:
        - Justin Herman Plaza Fountain
        - Spatial organization of the irregular pentagon-shaped plan with terraces, promenade, and southern open space
        - Retained pedestrian circulation along two primary axes.

Best,
Michelle

Michelle M. Langlie (she/her/hers), Senior Preservation Planner
District 3/Recreation and Parks Liaison, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7410 | General Inquiries: pic@sfgov.org | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map | San Francisco Permit Center

---

From: Bradley, Stacy (REC) <stacy.bradley@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, December 2, 2024 5:44 PM

To: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>; Sheyner, Tania (CPC) <tania.sheyner@sfgov.org>; Lamb, Benjamin (CPC) <benjamin.lamb@sfgov.org>; Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>; Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>
Cc: Golan, Yael (REC) <yael.golan@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: CPC/RPD meeting minutes: 11/27/24

Thanks, Kerstin. Just a few edits, which I incorporated below. I had one question about the 2nd bullet under Embarcadero. Rich/Michelle – can you review? Thanks!

Stacy Radine Bradley, AICP
Director of Capital and Planning
San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San Francisco
415.823.9120 cell | 628.652.6610 office | stacy.bradley@sfgov.org

---

From: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>
Sent: Monday, December 2, 2024 2:52 PM
To: Sheyner, Tania (CPC) <tania.sheyner@sfgov.org>; Lamb, Benjamin (CPC) <benjamin.lamb@sfgov.org>; Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>; Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>
Cc: Golan, Yael (REC) <yael.golan@sfgov.org>; Bradley, Stacy (REC) <stacy.bradley@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: CPC/RPD meeting minutes: 11/27/24

Hi Team Planning,

Below our meeting minutes from last week's meeting.

Meeting minutes:

- **Embarcadero Plaza Improvement Project**
  - Plaza has been determined in the Better Market Streets EIR to not be a historic resource due to a lack of integrity
  - Fountain is considered a contributing feature [Contributing to what? Planning – should this be rephrased?]
  - Brick work is not contributing as its also lost integrity
  - Fountain can possibly be placed in storage to be relocated to another location.
  - Planning to determine the appropriate method for codifying this project.
  - RPD will contract with a preservation consultant to determine best method to dismantle the fountain
  - Kerstin and Michelle to work on scope for preservation consultant

- **Bernal Heights Playground**
  - Court order associated with the Kirola lawsuit is requiring REC to fix non-compliant issues at playground by fall 2025

- Modest paving improvements are needed to provide accessible access from the sidewalk to the playground
- Entire playground will be replaced, sand removed and different surfacing (likely turf with pour-in-place rubber in high use areas) will be installed
- Sandpit is likely to be retained. If removed, it would become part of the play area footprint.
- RPD expects to submit CEQA application by end of year (2024)
- Approval action will be the PAL

- **Botanical restroom and Pathway Improvements, Tenderloin Rec Center Bathroom Improvements**
  - Court order associated with the Kirola lawsuit requires ADA improvements at SF Botanical Garden south restroom and Tenderloin Rec Center restroom and pathway updates at Botanical Garden
  - Improvements must be completed by May 2025 or Oct 2025.
  - All 3 improvement projects will likely be submitted on 1 CEQA application
  - Approval action will be the PAL

- **22nd street stairs at Potrero Hill Rec Center**
  - REC to submit CEQA soon
  - DPW's minor encroachment permit not needed for application but state that the permit application is in progress
  - Approval action will be the PAL

- **Japantown Peace Plaza: Pagoda Roof**
  - During construction contractor discovered copper roof on Pagoda is ribbed
  - ARG recommends replacing in kind, however, there were water intrusion issues with this design when originally installed and may not be feasible to reinstall
  - Planning recommends ARG compose a memo identifying the issue and proposing a solution

- **GGP Indigenous Garden**
  - Planning will confirm boundaries of the Murphy windmill landmark to see if current project encroaches on the landmarking site.
  - Project will likely require an HRR part 2 as part of the exemption.

Kerstin Kalchmayr (she/her)
Planner II | Capital & Planning Division

San Francisco Recreation & Park Department
49 South Van Ness, 12th floor Suite 1220
San Francisco, CA 94103
Office: (628) 652-6601

Email: kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org
Web | Facebook | Twitter | Instagram

-

From:	Vanderslice, Allison (CPC)
To:	Langlie, Michelle (CPC); Sucre, Richard (CPC); Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC); Greving, Justin (CPC)
Cc:	Goodwin, Eoanna (REC); Cooper, Rick (REC)
Subject:	Re: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal
Date:	Friday, January 31, 2025 5:09:30 AM

I don't remember it being included in the Waterfront Plan EIR review but you could always check in with Mark Paez to confirm if Port has additional information.

Best,
Allison

Allison Vanderslice, MA
CEQA Cultural Resources Team Manager, Environmental Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7505 | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map

I am working a temporary reduced hours schedule with a half day on Wednesdays and out of the office on Fridays. Please be aware that I will not be responding to emails during those times. Thank you for your understanding.

From: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2025 6:53 PM
To: Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>; Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>; Vanderslice, Allison (CPC) <allison.vanderslice@sfgov.org>; Greving, Justin (CPC) <justin.greving@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Thanks, Rich. The BMS report also includes a full DPR form for Justin Herman Plaza in the supporting information - it's a bit buried in one of the appendices.

Best,
Michelle

Michelle M. Langlie (she/her/hers), Senior Preservation Planner
District 3/SF Permit Center, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7410 | General Inquiries: pic@sfgov.org | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map | San Francisco Permit Center

---

From: Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2025 6:32:42 PM

To: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>; Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>; Vanderslice, Allison (CPC) <allison.vanderslice@sfgov.org>; Greving, Justin (CPC) <justin.greving@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hi All,

Yes the Better Market St EIR and/or the Waterfront Plan EIR are probably your best two resources.

Rich

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 29, 2025 5:29:22 PM
To: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>; Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>; Vanderslice, Allison (CPC) <allison.vanderslice@sfgov.org>; Greving, Justin (CPC) <justin.greving@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hi Kerstin,

Let me double-check with @Vanderslice, Allison (CPC) and @Greving, Justin (CPC); I do believe the BMS EIR has the most comprehensive info to date re: the fountain.

Thanks,
Michelle

Michelle M. Langlie (she/her/hers), Senior Preservation Planner
District 3/Recreation and Parks Liaison, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7410 | General Inquiries: pic@sfgov.org | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map | San Francisco Permit Center

---

From: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, January 28, 2025 4:45 PM
To: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>; Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Thanks so much Michelle for reviewing! We'll include an HRR then.
Just one more thing. We wanted to ask if you have any additional resources or reports on the fountain, apart from the Better Market Street EIR that we can pass on to Page & Turnball to include in their report? We'd like to minimize duplicate efforts.

Thanks,
Kerstin

From: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>
Sent: Sunday, January 26, 2025 9:47 PM
To: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>; Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hi Kerstin,

I hope you've had a nice weekend. We've had a chance to review the proposal from Page and Turnbull. Because the Embarcadero Plaza was outside of the study area of the Better Market Streets EIR, we should go ahead with the HRR to get a formal and robust analysis of the fountain.

The proposal and estimate appears on track; we may not need preservation alternatives if we aren't doing an EIR, but we will know more after the completion of the HRR. It makes sense that Tasks 5 and 6 are T&M.

Please let us know if you'd like to chat before our check-in on Wednesday.

Thanks!
Michelle

Michelle M. Langlie (she/her/hers), Senior Preservation Planner
District 3/Recreation and Parks Liaison, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7410 | General Inquiries: pic@sfgov.org | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map | San Francisco Permit Center

---

From: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2025 5:40 PM
To: Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>; Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>

Subject: FW: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hi Rich and Michelle,

Page & Turnbull sent us their proposal. Please see attached. It would be helpful if you could review the whole proposal and let us know if you have any comments/feedback for the consultant.

In addition, we want to discuss if an HRR is needed for the fountain (see Task 0). Do you know if there is enough information existing on the fountain that it would not be necessary to do this step? We do want to ensure that we have a robust historical analyzes on the fountain to justify our plans for the park and hence want to know if existing information is adequate or if we should just do the HRR. Lastly, are the charges reasonable? I thought $12k for Task 4 was high but I am not familiar with storage plans.

Proposal highlights:

- Task 0: Historic Research + Historic Resource Report $5,000.00
    - + Significance Diagrams $3,500.00
- Task 1: Laser Scan / As-Built Drawings $6,150.00
    - Locus Laser Scanning $3,150.00
    - Page & Turnbull $3,000.00
- Task 2: Conditions Assessment + Documentation $22,180.00
    - Hazardous Materials Assessment $5,250.00
    - AME Ground Penetrating Radar + report: $1,930.00
    - Page & Turnbull: $15,000.00
- Task 3: Disassembly Plan $8,500.00
- Task 4: Storage + Crating Plan $12,500.00
- Task 5: Integration into Plaza Art Installation (Pres. Alternatives) $20,000.00 (T&M)
- Task 6: Preservation Consultation $20,000.00 (T&M)

Total $97,830.00

Reimbursable expenses are in addition to the professional fee and are not expected to exceed $2,500.00.

Thank you for reviewing! We'd like to get Page & Turnball contracted ASAP.

Best,
Kerstin

From: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2025 4:44 PM
To: Ward, Alexis (REC) <alexis.ward@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>; Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>
Subject: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hey Alexis, Rick, and Kerstin,

See attached for the Page & Turnbull proposal and cost summary pasted below. Assuming we would want to move forward with Tasks 0, 1, 2, and 6 (total of $33,330 + $20k T&M) at this point. For Task 0, though we may not need an HRR, the report itself may be useful as we proceed.

--

--

I did have $100,000 for Historic Research Evaluation in my CCR, so this was wildly on the money.

Eoanna Harrison Goodwin AIA, LEED AP BD+C
Project Manager, Capital and Planning Division
Pronouns: She/Her/Hers

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San Francisco
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1220 | San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-6645 | eoanna.goodwin@sfgov.org

Visit us at sfrecpark.org
Like us on Facebook
Follow us on Twitter
Watch us on sfRecParkTV
Sign up for our e-News

From:	Langlie, Michelle (CPC)
To:	Greving, Justin (CPC); Vanderslice, Allison (CPC)
Subject:	RE: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal
Date:	Wednesday, January 29, 2025 5:48:00 PM

Okay, thank you!

Michelle M. Langlie (she/her/hers), Senior Preservation Planner
District 3/Recreation and Parks Liaison, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7410 | General Inquiries: pic@sfgov.org | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map | San Francisco Permit Center

---

From: Greving, Justin (CPC) <justin.greving@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 29, 2025 5:39 PM
To: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>; Vanderslice, Allison (CPC) <allison.vanderslice@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Not that I am aware of… but the BMS report did include a full DPR form for Justin Herman Plaza (I guess it's now Embarcadero Plaza?) in the supporting information so I would make sure they have seen that as it was buried in one of the appendices.

Justin Greving, Senior Preservation Planner (he/him)
Environmental Planning
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7553 | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map

From: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 29, 2025 5:32 PM
To: Vanderslice, Allison (CPC) <allison.vanderslice@sfgov.org>; Greving, Justin (CPC) <justin.greving@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hi!

Do either of you know of any other existing research regarding the Vaillancourt Fountain in Embarcadero Plaza? There's quite a bit in the BMS EIR, though technically the Plaza was outside of the official study area, so P&T is being tasked with a formal HRR for the fountain itself. I didn't think so but wanted to confirm.

Thanks!
Michelle

Michelle M. Langlie (she/her/hers), Senior Preservation Planner
District 3/Recreation and Parks Liaison, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7410 | General Inquiries: pic@sfgov.org | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map | San Francisco Permit Center

---

From: Langlie, Michelle (CPC)
Sent: Wednesday, January 29, 2025 5:29 PM
To: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>; Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>; Vanderslice, Allison (CPC) <allison.vanderslice@sfgov.org>; Greving, Justin (CPC) <justin.greving@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hi Kerstin,

Let me double-check with @Vanderslice, Allison (CPC) and @Greving, Justin (CPC); I do believe the BMS EIR has the most comprehensive info to date re: the fountain.

Thanks,
Michelle

Michelle M. Langlie (she/her/hers), Senior Preservation Planner
District 3/Recreation and Parks Liaison, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7410 | General Inquiries: pic@sfgov.org | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map | San Francisco Permit Center

---

From: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, January 28, 2025 4:45 PM
To: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>; Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Thanks so much Michelle for reviewing! We'll include an HRR then.
Just one more thing. We wanted to ask if you have any additional resources or reports on the fountain, apart from the Better Market Street EIR that we can pass on to Page & Turnball to include in their report? We'd like to minimize duplicate efforts.

Thanks,
Kerstin

From: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>
Sent: Sunday, January 26, 2025 9:47 PM
To: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>; Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hi Kerstin,

I hope you've had a nice weekend. We've had a chance to review the proposal from Page and Turnbull. Because the Embarcadero Plaza was outside of the study area of the Better Market Streets EIR, we should go ahead with the HRR to get a formal and robust analysis of the fountain.

The proposal and estimate appears on track; we may not need preservation alternatives if we aren't doing an EIR, but we will know more after the completion of the HRR. It makes sense that Tasks 5 and 6 are T&M.

Please let us know if you'd like to chat before our check-in on Wednesday.

Thanks!
Michelle

Michelle M. Langlie (she/her/hers), Senior Preservation Planner
District 3/Recreation and Parks Liaison, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7410 | General Inquiries: pic@sfgov.org | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map | San Francisco Permit Center

---

From: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2025 5:40 PM
To: Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>; Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hi Rich and Michelle,

Page & Turnbull sent us their proposal. Please see attached. It would be helpful if you could review the whole proposal and let us know if you have any comments/feedback for the consultant.

In addition, we want to discuss if an HRR is needed for the fountain (see Task 0). Do you know if there

is enough information existing on the fountain that it would not be necessary to do this step? We do want to ensure that we have a robust historical analyzes on the fountain to justify our plans for the park and hence want to know if existing information is adequate or if we should just do the HRR. Lastly, are the charges reasonable? I thought $12k for Task 4 was high but I am not familiar with storage plans.

Proposal highlights:

- Task 0: Historic Research + Historic Resource Report $5,000.00
  - + Significance Diagrams $3,500.00
- Task 1: Laser Scan / As-Built Drawings $6,150.00
  - Locus Laser Scanning $3,150.00
  - Page & Turnbull $3,000.00
- Task 2: Conditions Assessment + Documentation $22,180.00
  - Hazardous Materials Assessment $5,250.00
  - AME Ground Penetrating Radar + report: $1,930.00
  - Page & Turnbull: $15,000.00
- Task 3: Disassembly Plan $8,500.00
- Task 4: Storage + Crating Plan $12,500.00
- Task 5: Integration into Plaza Art Installation (Pres. Alternatives) $20,000.00 (T&M)
- Task 6: Preservation Consultation $20,000.00 (T&M)

Total $97,830.00

Reimbursable expenses are in addition to the professional fee and are not expected to exceed $2,500.00.

Thank you for reviewing! We'd like to get Page & Turnball contracted ASAP.

Best,
Kerstin

From: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2025 4:44 PM
To: Ward, Alexis (REC) <alexis.ward@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>; Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>
Subject: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hey Alexis, Rick, and Kerstin,

See attached for the Page & Turnbull proposal and cost summary pasted below. Assuming we would want to move forward with Tasks 0, 1, 2, and 6 (total of $33,330 + $20k T&M) at this point. For Task 0, though we may not need an HRR, the report itself may be useful as we proceed.

--

--

I did have $100,000 for Historic Research Evaluation in my CCR, so this was wildly on the money.

Eoanna Harrison Goodwin AIA, LEED AP BD+C
Project Manager, Capital and Planning Division
Pronouns: She/Her/Hers

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San Francisco
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1220 | San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-6645 | eoanna.goodwin@sfgov.org

Visit us at sfrecpark.org
Like us on Facebook
Follow us on Twitter
Watch us on sfRecParkTV
Sign up for our e-News

From: Langlie, Michelle (CPC)
To: Sucre, Richard (CPC); Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC); Vanderslice, Allison (CPC); Greving, Justin (CPC)
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC); Cooper, Rick (REC)
Subject: Re: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal
Date: Thursday, January 30, 2025 6:53:50 PM

Thanks, Rich. The BMS report also includes a full DPR form for Justin Herman Plaza in the supporting information - it's a bit buried in one of the appendices.

Best,
Michelle

Michelle M. Langlie (she/her/hers), Senior Preservation Planner
District 3/SF Permit Center, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7410 | General Inquiries: pic@sfgov.org | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map | San Francisco Permit Center

---

From: Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>
Sent: Thursday, January 30, 2025 6:32:42 PM
To: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>; Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>; Vanderslice, Allison (CPC) <allison.vanderslice@sfgov.org>; Greving, Justin (CPC) <justin.greving@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: Re: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hi All,

Yes the Better Market St EIR and/or the Waterfront Plan EIR are probably your best two resources.

Rich

Get Outlook for iOS

From: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>
Sent: Wednesday, January 29, 2025 5:29:22 PM
To: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>; Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>; Vanderslice, Allison (CPC) <allison.vanderslice@sfgov.org>; Greving, Justin (CPC) <justin.greving@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hi Kerstin,

Let me double-check with @Vanderslice, Allison (CPC) and @Greving, Justin (CPC); I do believe the BMS EIR has the most comprehensive info to date re: the fountain.

Thanks,
Michelle

Michelle M. Langlie (she/her/hers), Senior Preservation Planner
District 3/Recreation and Parks Liaison, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7410 | General Inquiries: pic@sfgov.org | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map | San Francisco Permit Center

---

From: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, January 28, 2025 4:45 PM
To: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>; Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Thanks so much Michelle for reviewing! We'll include an HRR then.
Just one more thing. We wanted to ask if you have any additional resources or reports on the fountain, apart from the Better Market Street EIR that we can pass on to Page & Turnball to include in their report? We'd like to minimize duplicate efforts.

Thanks,
Kerstin

From: Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>
Sent: Sunday, January 26, 2025 9:47 PM
To: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>; Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: RE: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hi Kerstin,

I hope you've had a nice weekend. We've had a chance to review the proposal from Page and Turnbull. Because the Embarcadero Plaza was outside of the study area of the Better Market Streets EIR, we should go ahead with the HRR to get a formal and robust analysis of the fountain.

The proposal and estimate appears on track; we may not need preservation alternatives if we aren't

doing an EIR, but we will know more after the completion of the HRR. It makes sense that Tasks 5 and 6 are T&M.

Please let us know if you'd like to chat before our check-in on Wednesday.

Thanks!
Michelle

Michelle M. Langlie (she/her/hers), Senior Preservation Planner
District 3/Recreation and Parks Liaison, Current Planning Division
San Francisco Planning
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1400, San Francisco, CA 94103
Direct: 628.652.7410 | General Inquiries: pic@sfgov.org | www.sfplanning.org
San Francisco Property Information Map | San Francisco Permit Center

---

From: Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>
Sent: Tuesday, January 21, 2025 5:40 PM
To: Sucre, Richard (CPC) <richard.sucre@sfgov.org>; Langlie, Michelle (CPC) <michelle.langlie@sfgov.org>
Cc: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>
Subject: FW: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hi Rich and Michelle,

Page & Turnbull sent us their proposal. Please see attached. It would be helpful if you could review the whole proposal and let us know if you have any comments/feedback for the consultant.

In addition, we want to discuss if an HRR is needed for the fountain (see Task 0). Do you know if there is enough information existing on the fountain that it would not be necessary to do this step? We do want to ensure that we have a robust historical analyzes on the fountain to justify our plans for the park and hence want to know if existing information is adequate or if we should just do the HRR. Lastly, are the charges reasonable? I thought $12k for Task 4 was high but I am not familiar with storage plans.

Proposal highlights:

- Task 0: Historic Research + Historic Resource Report $5,000.00
  - + Significance Diagrams $3,500.00
- Task 1: Laser Scan / As-Built Drawings $6,150.00
  - Locus Laser Scanning $3,150.00
  - Page & Turnbull $3,000.00
- Task 2: Conditions Assessment + Documentation $22,180.00
  - Hazardous Materials Assessment $5,250.00

    - AME Ground Penetrating Radar + report: $1,930.00
    - Page & Turnbull: $15,000.00
- Task 3: Disassembly Plan $8,500.00
- Task 4: Storage + Crating Plan $12,500.00
- Task 5: Integration into Plaza Art Installation (Pres. Alternatives) $20,000.00 (T&M)
- Task 6: Preservation Consultation $20,000.00 (T&M)

Total $97,830.00

Reimbursable expenses are in addition to the professional fee and are not expected to exceed $2,500.00.

Thank you for reviewing! We'd like to get Page & Turnball contracted ASAP.

Best,
Kerstin

From: Goodwin, Eoanna (REC) <eoanna.Goodwin@sfgov.org>
Sent: Friday, January 17, 2025 4:44 PM
To: Ward, Alexis (REC) <alexis.ward@sfgov.org>; Cooper, Rick (REC) <rick.cooper@sfgov.org>; Kalchmayr, Kerstin (REC) <kerstin.kalchmayr@sfgov.org>
Subject: Embarcadero Plaza - Page & Turnbull Proposal

Hey Alexis, Rick, and Kerstin,

See attached for the Page & Turnbull proposal and cost summary pasted below. Assuming we would want to move forward with Tasks 0, 1, 2, and 6 (total of $33,330 + $20k T&M) at this point. For Task 0, though we may not need an HRR, the report itself may be useful as we proceed.

--

--

I did have $100,000 for Historic Research Evaluation in my CCR, so this was wildly on the money.

Eoanna Harrison Goodwin AIA, LEED AP BD+C
Project Manager, Capital and Planning Division
Pronouns: She/Her/Hers

San Francisco Recreation and Park Department | City & County of San Francisco
49 South Van Ness Avenue, Suite 1220 | San Francisco, CA 94103
(628) 652-6645 | eoanna.goodwin@sfgov.org

Visit us at sfrecpark.org
Like us on Facebook
Follow us on Twitter
Watch us on sfRecParkTV
Sign up for our e-News